UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,950	03/29/2007	Thomas Nissl	047956/307840	7925
37305 ALSTON & BI	7590 10/08/200 RD LLP	EXAMINER		
	ERICA PLAZA	MILLER, CHERYL L		
SUITE 4000	TRYON STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000			3738	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/573,950	NISSL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	CHERYL MILLER	3738			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 Ju</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1 and 4-21 is/are pending in the application Papers 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 4-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examine.	vn from consideration.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/20/2009.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: <u>Attachment 1</u>	ate atent Application			

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 20, 2009 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 4-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 4, and 7-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mueller et al. (US 2002/0022877 A1, cited previously). Mueller discloses a stent (1) comprising a support frame (see fig.1, 8) that is expandable from an initial state (dotted lines in fig.2) to a support state (solid lines in fig.2) comprising at least two annular segments (8) formed by struts (straight segments) interconnected in a corrugated manner (see fig.1 for example) by transitional

Art Unit: 3738

sections (bends 12, 22 or 10.1, 10.2), adjacent annular segments (8) connected by connectors (20), wherein one annular segment (8) is at a proximal end and another annular segment (8) is at a distal end of the stent (see fig.8; fig.1 discloses end segments 8 are same as others, however not shown in figures, P0048), wherein every other transition section has a widened head end (12) projecting proximally from a proximal end and distally from a distal end (ends not shown, however inherent as the pattern is repeated, end segments 8 are the same without the connectors 20), head (12) having a convex front section (free end surface), convex edge section (side of head 12) and concave throat section (where connected to the linear struts of 10; see figs), the concave throat sections configured to intermesh with and extend at least partially over (extends higher, more proximally and more distally thus considered "over") adjacent transitional sections (22) in the initial state (shown as dotted lines in fig.2), wherein each of the struts are substantially parallel to one another in the initial state (see fig.1 wherein all struts including connectors are shown parallel and aligned with the longitudinal axis of the stent; P0045, P0047). Mueller shows each annular segment to have substantially the same amplitude (length from one transition segment to another is consistent thus considered the same amplitude). Deflections elements may be considered eyelet, or opening inside bulbous head end 10.1. Mueller shows connectors (20) to extend from a ridge of an annular segment (8) to a transitional segment (12 or 22) of an adjacent annular segment (8; see figs). Mueller shows connectors (20) aligned. Mueller's widened head ends (12) are shown projecting further axially from the rest of the stent. Mueller shows convex transition sections (22) configured to intermesh with adjacent concave throat sections (see figs). It is noted that "intermesh" does not necessarily require the elements to touch or contact one another, they just need have similar contours. If applicant were to claim

Application/Control Number: 10/573,950 Page 4

Art Unit: 3738

throat sections to intermesh with so as to extend at least partially over and abut (or in abutment with) adjacent transitional sections in the initial state, this would seemingly overcome Mueller.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 5-8, 11-14, and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pollock et al. (US 6,942,690 B1). Pollock discloses a stent (see figs.1, 2, 6 for example) comprising a support frame (see fig.1, 2, or 6) that is expandable from an initial state (fig.2) to a support state (fig.1 or alternately fig.6) comprising at least two annular segments (see attachment 1) formed by struts (straight segments in fig.2) interconnected in a corrugated manner by transitional sections (bends/peaks valleys), adjacent annular segments connected by connectors (see attachment 1), wherein one annular segment is at a proximal end and another annular segment is at a distal end of the stent, wherein all struts are parallel in the initial state (see fig.2) and have the same amplitude (see fig.2). Pollock discloses the stent substantially as claimed, however does not shown widened convex and concave head ends on the stent of figs. 1, 2, and 6. Pollock does teach however using widened convex and concave intermeshing head ends on stent ends (see fig.22a, 22b) for the purpose of increasing the surface area of the stent on the vessel reducing trauma to the patient (col.11 line 65-col.12 line 40). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Pollock's convex and concave head end teaching for the ends of stents (col.12, lines 38-40) with

Application/Control Number: 10/573,950 Page 5

Art Unit: 3738

the previous stent design of Pollock in figs.1, 2 or 6, in order to provide a stent with increased surface area at the ends, reducing trauma to the patient). Pollock's head ends (122) in fig.22a are shown to have the shape claimed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHERYL MILLER whose telephone number is (571)272-4755. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached at 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Cheryl Miller/ Examiner, Art Unit 3738

/Corrine M McDermott/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3738